REMARKS

Claims 37-39, 76-80 and 82-99 are pending in the present application. In the Office Action dated April 25, 2003, the Examiner rejected claims 37-38, 82, 84, 86-88, 95-96 and 98 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,846,859 to Lee ("Lee '859"). Claims 37, 82, 86-87 and 95-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application No. US 2001/0001501 A1 to Lee ("Lee '501") in view of applicant's specification. Claims 37, 38, 76-77, 79, 82, 84, 86-88, 90-91, 93, 95-96 and 98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,723,384 to Park ("Park") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,100,187 to Hintermaier, et al. ("Hintermaier"). Finally the Examiner has rejected claims 39, 78, 83, 85, 89, 92, 94, 97 and 99 as unpatentable over Park and Hintermaier in view of applicant's specification, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,309,713 to Mak, et al. ("Mak"). Applicant disagrees with these grounds of rejection and wishes to clarify various distinctions of applicants' invention over the cited art. Reconsideration is therefore requested in light of the present amendment and following remarks.

Some of the technical differences between the applied reference and embodiments of the invention will now be discussed. Of course, these discussed differences, which are disclosed in detail in the patent specification, do not define the scope or interpretation of any of the claims. Where presented below, such discussed differences merely help the Examiner appreciate important claim distinctions discussed thereafter.

As a preliminary matter, applicant notes that the Examiner has made U.S. Patent No. 6,472,264 B1 to Agarwal ("Agarwal") of record in the present application. The Examiner, however, failed to enter this reference in the PTO 892 that accompanied the present Office Action. Instead, the Examiner entered U.S. Patent No. 6,472,462 B1 to Kohlhammer ("Kohlhammer") in the PTO 892, but makes no reference to Kohlhammer. Despite the omission of the Agarwal reference from the PTO 892, applicant acknowledges that the Agarwal reference is of record in the present application.

The various embodiments of the present invention are directed toward a method for protecting a conductive layer from oxygen. In one embodiment, the method includes preventing or at least limiting a first conductive layer from incorporating oxygen beneath the



surface of the layer. Other embodiments of the present invention include methods for limiting the ability of the first conductive layer to adsorb oxygen. Such embodiments may help prevent the diffusion of oxygen into a second conductive layer, thereby protecting against oxidation between the conductive layers. In one particular embodiment, one of the conductive layers is exposed to an N₂/H₂ plasma before another conductive layer is applied. The foregoing embodiment may also be performed *in situ* relative to the environment or ambient atmosphere in which the one conductive layer was provided.

Other embodiments include the use of other nitrogen-containing plasmas, as well as the use of nitrogen-containing gases that are not in plasma form. Yet other embodiments may also use gases that do not contain nitrogen. Still other embodiments protect against oxidation between conductive layers with a step performed *ex situ* relative to the environment or ambient atmosphere in which the one conductive layer was formed. In another embodiment, silane gas. or other similar gases are flowed over the conductive layer.

The Examiner has cited Lee '859. Lee '859 discloses a method for manufacturing a semiconductor memory device having a capacitive storage element. In a pertinent embodiment, the method includes forming a capacitor in a semiconductor device having a dielectric film formed of a dielectric material and an amorphous silicon carbide layer. The silicon carbide layer may be formed by a chemical vapor deposition process involving a gas including silane and propane, silane and benzene, and by adding phosphine or arsenic hydride to the gas (col. 2, lines 45-50).

Lee '859 does not disclose or suggest using methylsilane in the formation of a semiconductor memory device having a capacitive storage element. In particular, Lee '859 does not disclose or suggest passivating a conductive material, wherein the conductive material is exposed to methylsilane.

The Examiner has cited Lee '501, which discloses methods for forming integrated circuit capacitors, including the steps of forming a lower electrode of a capacitor by forming a conductive layer pattern on a semiconductor substrate. In a pertinent embodiment, a hemispherical grain silicon surface layer of a first conductivity type is formed on the conductive layer pattern. Steps are also performed to anneal the conductive layer pattern and to dope the hemispherical grain silicon surface layer using a phosphine gas source.

Lee '501 does not disclose or suggest using methylsilane in the formation of integrated circuit devices. Specifically, Lee '501 does not disclose or suggest passivating a conductive material, wherein the conductive material is exposed to methylsilane.

The Examiner further relies on the Park reference. Park discloses a method for manufacturing a capacitor in a semiconductor device. The method includes forming a tungsten nitride thin film on a conductive pattern using a chemical vapor deposition process, and a reaction agent of H₂, SiH₄, SiHlCl₃, SiH₂Cl₂, or B₂H₆. (col. 4, lines 10-15). Park does not disclose or suggest using methylsilane in the method.

The Examiner has also cited the Hintermaier reference. Hintermaier discloses a method of forming a barrier layer in a semiconductor body. In a pertinent embodiment, the method includes forming a barrier layer on a contact plug in the semiconductor body. The barrier layer is produced by chemically reacting a prestructured metallic transition material with one or more reaction partners, that include methane and phosphine.

Hintermaier does not disclose or suggest using methylsilane in the formation of a barrier layer in a semiconductor body. In particular, and contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Hintermaier does not disclose or suggest the use of methylsilane in any process.

Finally the Examiner has cited the Mak reference. Mak discloses a method of forming a layer of tungsten nitride on a semiconductor wafer. The deposition is performed by providing a gaseous mixture forming the layer in a chemical vapor deposition process. Mak does not disclose or suggest using methylsilane in the method.

Turning now to the claims, patentably distinct differences between the claim language and the applied art will be pointed out. Claim 37, as amended, recites in pertinent part: "A method of forming a semiconductor device, comprising...depositing a first conductive layer having a surface and having an ability to associate with oxygen...incorporating an oxygen-free material directly into said surface to passivate the surface of said first conductive layer to reduce the ability of the first conductive layer to associate with oxygen, the oxygen-free material being methylsilane...". (Emphasis added). None of the applied references disclose or suggest exposing a conductive material to methylsilane. Claim 37 is therefore allowable over the cited art. Claims depending from claim 37 are also allowable based upon the allowability of the base claim and further in view of the additional limitations recited in the dependent claims.

Claim 82, as amended, recites in pertinent part: "A method of forming a semiconductor device, comprising...providing a first conductive layer having a surface and having an ability to associate with oxygen...placing the surface of the first conductive layer in direct contact with an oxygen-free atmosphere under appropriate conditions to passivate the surface and reduce the ability of the first conductive layer to associate with oxygen, the oxygen-free atmosphere including methylsilane...". (Emphasis added). Again, none of the applied references disclose or suggest exposing a conductive material to methylsilane. Claim 82 is therefore allowable over the cited art. Claims depending from claim 82 are also allowable based upon the allowability of the base claim and further in view of the additional limitations recited in the dependent claims.

Claim 86 as amended recites: "A method of forming a semiconductor device, comprising...depositing a first conductive layer having a surface and having an ability to associate with oxygen...incorporating methylsilane directly into the surface to passivate the surface of the first conductive layer to reduce the ability of the first conductive layer to associate with oxygen..." (Emphasis added). Yet again, none of the applied references disclose or suggest exposing a conductive material to methylsilane. Claim 86 is therefore allowable over the cited art. Claims depending from claim 86 are also allowable based upon the allowability of the base claim and further in view of the additional limitations recited in the dependent claims.

Claim 90, as amended, recites in pertinent part: "A method of forming a semiconductor device, comprising...depositing a tungsten nitride layer having a surface...incorporating a selection consisting of diborane, methylsilane, hexamethyldisilane, hexamethyldisilazane, HCL, boron trichloride, and combinations thereof directly into the surface of the tungsten nitride layer to passivate the surface of the tungsten nitride layer to reduce an ability of the tungsten nitride layer to associate with oxygen..." (Emphasis added). The applied references disclose, at most, the use of phosphine. Claim 90 is therefore allowable over the cited art. Claims depending from claim 90 are also allowable based upon the allowability of the base claim and further in view of the additional limitations recited in the dependent claims.

Finally, claim 95, as amended, recites in pertinent part, "A method of forming a semiconductor device, comprising...providing a first conductive layer having a surface and having an ability to associate with oxygen...placing the surface of the first conductive layer in

Appl. No. 09/652,714

direct contact with methylsilane, under appropriate conditions to passivate the surface and reduce the ability of the first conductive layer to associate with oxygen..." (Emphasis added). None of the applied references disclose or suggest exposing a conductive material to methylsilane. Claim 95 is therefore allowable over the cited art. Claims depending from claim 95 are also allowable based upon the allowability of the base claim and further in view of the additional limitations recited in the dependent claims.

All of the claims remaining in the application are now clearly allowable. Favorable consideration and a timely Notice of Allowance are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

Steven H. Arterberry Registration No. 46,314

Telephone No. (206) 903-8787

SHA:tlm

Enclosures:

Postcard

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3400 Seattle, WA 98101-4010 (206) 903-8800 (telephone) (206) 903-8820 (fax)

c:\ndeloc\painter.mary\amendment.doc 4819-8760-2688\1 7/25/2003

